W.P.(C) No.8905 of 2006

11.07.2017 Heard Mr. Dalai, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. K.K. Mishra, learned Additional Government Advocate for the State-opposite parties.

This matter involves a disqualification order involving the petitioner. Due to efflux of time, the matter has become infructuous and consideration of the issue therein becomes academic. But however, looking to the allegation involving disqualification of the petitioner while disposing the writ petition as already become infructuous, this Court makes an observation that the decision in the impugned order shall have no binding effect in the future litigation raised if any, involving the petitioner. The future litigation if any, will be decided on its own merit and without being influenced by the observations already made in the impugned order.

Writ petition stands disposed of with the above observation.

....

(Biswanath Rath, J.)